

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Silver Creek High	District Name	East Side Union High
Street	3434 Silver Creek Rd.	Phone Number	408-347-5000
City, State, Zip	San Jose , CA 95121-1845	Web Site	www.esuhd.org
Phone Number	408-347-5600	Superintendent	Dan Moser
Principal	Thelma Boac	E-mail Address	moserd@esuhd.org
E-mail Address	boact@esuhd.org	CDS Code	43- 69427- 4337903

## **School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)**

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

Welcome to the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) for Silver Creek High School. I hope you will find this report both informational and helpful. Silver Creek has an award winning Japanese Program, an award winning counseling team, an AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) program that is a model for other schools in the county, an excellent Math and English Department, a strong AP (Advanced Placement) course offerings, a growing Performance Arts Department, an award winning NJROTC, a supportive parent (PTSA) and School Site Council, and a faculty that has an average of over 14 years of teaching experience. Silver Creek High School is dedicated to serving the needs of all of our students. To this end, we are glad to share all of our school information with the public. If you would like to know more information about Silver Creek High School, please do not hesitate to call me. It is a privilege to serve Silver Creek parents, students and the community.

## **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)**

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

Silver Creek values and includes all stakeholders in all facets of the educational process. To encourage parent participation, Silver Creek maintains a system of open two-way communication and employs a variety of ways to increase stakeholder communication. Not only is the School Accountability Report Card available on the district's website, but pertinent school information, including the results of the school evaluation process, school data, and school programs are also available to parents on the school's website and in the Principal's Newsletter, which is written in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese. In addition, to ensure ongoing communication, Silver Creek utilizes the district's website to provide parents with immediate access to their students' grades, attendance, test scores, school programs, and activities as well as facilitate parent communication with staff members. Because parent and community participation is essential to student achievement, Silver Creek High School provides a number of parent involvement opportunities. Parent volunteers are in the Autistic Program classes, as well as during lunch, serving as extra pair of eyes in the monitoring of students. The school has an active School Site Council, Bilingual Advisory Committee, and the Silver Creek PTSA. To support parents, Silver Creek hosts a variety of parent information nights, including but not limited to Financial Aid Night and Freshman Parent Orientation. Moreover, counselors annually schedule parent visits and workshops that include college information, financial aid, and graduation status. Silver Creek High School sponsors annual events and ceremonies to which parents/guardians are invited. Specific programs sponsor annual and semi-annual awards ceremonies. There are awards for each athletic season, music awards, dance awards, AVID awards, NJROTC awards, Honor Night, California Scholarship Federation awards, and National Honor Society awards. There are also Drama, Choir and dance events, FANTASTICS, Winterfest, Noche de Fama, National Foundation of Teaching Entrepreneurship Business Plan Project, and various ceremonies by student clubs and organization which also promote community involvement and celebrate Silver Creek's diversity.

If you are interested in participating in any of these programs or would like more information please call the Silver Creek main number (408) 347-5600 and leave a message for the program coordinator who will contact you as soon as possible.

## **Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)**

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	0
Grade 3	0
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	0
Grade 7	0
Grade 8	0
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	640
Grade 10	626
Grade 11	603
Grade 12	574
Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	2443

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	4.13 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.37 %
Asian	41.59 %
Filipino	12.61 %
Hispanic or Latino	34.79 %
Pacific Islander	0.78 %
White (not Hispanic)	5.36 %
Multiple or No Response	0.37 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41.00 %
English Learners	14.00 %
Students with Disabilities	7.00 %

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays by subject area the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
---------	---------	---------	---------

	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	24.9	41	49	3	27.3	14	77	4	26.8	21	76	3
Mathematics	25.6	30	42	7	27.2	21	59	8	26.7	26	52	17
Science	29.6	6	53	6	30.4	4	42	22	31.2	1	46	21
Social Science	30.3	7	45	10	31.0	5	39	20	29.9	6	56	10

### III. School Climate

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Silver Creek has developed a comprehensive School Safety Plan that includes an assessment of school-related crime, a plan to maintain a safe and secure learning environment, as well as rules and procedures for campus safety. The SCHS School Safety Plan is reassessed, modified, and updated every year by the School Safety Committee, which is composed of teachers, classified staff, administrators, students, parents, and community members. The School Safety Plan is always available to the public. The SCHS School Safety Plan is monitored and approved every year by the East Side Union High School Board of Trustees. In order for the School Safety Plan to be operational, it must be signed off by a member of the teacher bargaining unit (East Side Teachers' Association). To insure that safety is always in the minds of the school staff, by law the school must have a drill four times a year covering evacuation, lockdown, fire, earthquake and full evacuation. Mandatory meetings on safety is reviewed every beginning of the school year for all staff. A safe and healthy campus environment has been a priority for many years, and the focus remains on the physical and mental well being of students and staff. All visitors on campus must go through the front office and request permission to visit and given a pass to enter campus. Silver Creek High School has a fourteen-member Safety Team that monitors the campus during break and lunch. The Campus Monitor, advisors and Assistant Principal (APA) monitors the campus while school is in session and until the end of the school day. Students are orderly and well behaved and constantly receive praise for exhibiting mature demeanor and conduct.

#### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	9.5	14.1	12.7	21.7	30.0	16.9
Expulsions	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.1

### IV. School Facilities

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

## Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

## Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Leadership Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. The restrooms are monitored daily for cleanliness and supplies by the APA and Custodial staff. Buildings are monitored for any type of vandalism or graffiti and removed immediately after being reported and documented by the staff.

## Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

## Age Of School Buildings

Built in 1969 to serve a capacity of approximately 1,800 students, Silver Creek currently houses more than 2,400 students. As a result of the significant increase in the population in the southern portion of the district, enrollment at Silver Creek High School exceeded the original design limits by more than 30%. To accommodate the increased enrollment, portables were used to serve as classrooms. The passage of Measure G and Measure A remodeled classrooms and added a two-story building equipped with new classrooms and state of the art Science Laboratories. This addition helped to reduce the number of portables that are being used as classrooms. The most recent passage of Measure E will add a new Multi-Purpose/Theatre equipped with classrooms to house the Performing Arts Department as well as add more space for school-wide testing. Other facility improvements as a result of Measure E is being planned for the Girl's Locker Room for the school year 2010-2011.

## Maintenance Projects

Since the school was designed to accommodate the needs of 1,800 students and is currently serving over 2,400 students, the bathrooms are constantly in use, and the administration and custodial staff are closely monitoring any situation that arises pertaining to restrooms supplies and cleaning. The school's administrative team is aggressively addressing issues that come up regarding cleanliness in restrooms that are communicated to administration. Overall, the Maintenance and Gardening staff do an outstanding job of keeping the classrooms and campus clean, and the staff and students work cooperatively to provide a spirit of goodwill at Silver Creek.

## Modernization Projects

Beginning in March, 2006, construction began on a new building that houses additional science classrooms/labs and general classrooms. The new building was finished in the spring of 2007. The new building provides every science teacher on campus with a classroom and accompanying lab. In the last three years, a number of campus buildings have been completely refurbished. In February 2008 the East Side Union High School District passed a \$349 million bond issue, which continued the upgrading process for Silver Creek. As a result of this new bond measure, a new Multi-Purpose Room/Performing Arts Theatre will begin construction in the summer of 2010. Other projects will follow in the near future which will include the renovation of the Girl's Locker Room and athletic facilities.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	x			
Mechanical Systems	80%	10%	10%	Theater and A building need new mechanical Systems. Will be replaced when funds are available.
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	80%	20%		Have replace doors on main kitchen, boy's locker room breezeway doors, storage room doors on T-Building & football storage building. Other doors on G, I, K, & M building need to be replaced. Will be done when funds are available.
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)	x			
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	x			
Structural Damage	x			
Fire Safety	x			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	80%	20%		Exterior lighting needs replacement when funds are available.
Pest/Vermin Infestation	90%	10%		Have pest control company spray when needed.
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)		x		We work on drinking fountains three to four times a year.
Restrooms		x		Some restroom partitions need replacement when funds are available.
Sewer	x			
Playground/School Grounds	x			
Other Athletic Fields	x			The soccer field and football field need to be reseeded. The football field was done in summer 2009. Soccer field will be done when funds are available.
<b>Overall Rating</b>	x			

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09

With Full Credential	89	91	97	1089
Without Full Credential	15	15	12	149
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	12	1
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	12	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

## Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	97.2	2.8
All Schools in District	95.2	4.8
High-Poverty Schools in District	94.4	5.6
Low-Poverty Schools in District		

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	5.0	488
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.2	N/A

Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other	3.0	

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

CORE CURRICULUM AREA AND TEXTBOOK IN USE BY COURSE	PUBLISHER	YEAR PUBLISHED	PERCENT OF PUPILS WHO LACK THEIR OWN ASSIGNED TEXTBOOKS, INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS OR SCIENCE LAB EQUIPMENT
<b>ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS</b>			
English 1 – “The Language of Literature Ninth Grade”	McDougal Littell	2002	0
English 2 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 10	McDougal Littell	2002	0
English 3 – “Timeless Voices Timeless Themes Am. Experience”	Prentice Hall	2000	0
English 4 – “The Language of Literature” World Literature	McDougal Littell	2002	0
<b>MATH</b>			
Algebra I – “Algebra 1”	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Geometry – “Geometry”	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Algebra II – “Algebra 2”	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Math Analysis – “Precalculus With Limits”	Houghton Mifflin	2001	0
<b>SCIENCE</b>			



Integrated Science 1 – “Conceptual Physical Science/Explorations”	Addison-Wesley/	1999, 2003	0
Holt Physical Science	Holt	2008	
Biology – “Biology: Web of Life; Holt Biology”	Holt/	1998, 99, 04	0
Chemistry – “Chemistry: Connections to our Changing World”	Prentice-Hall/	2000, 2002	0
Physics – “Physics Serway & Faughn”	Thomson Brooks/Cole/	2002	0
<b>SOCIAL SCIENCE</b>			
World History – “Modern World History”	McDougal-Littell	2003	0
US History – “The American Vision”	Glencoe	2006	0
American Government – “Magruder’s American Government”	Prentice Hall	1997	0
American Government – “We the People”	Center for Civic Education	2002	0
<b>CURRICULUM AREA</b>	<b>QUALITY, CURRENCY, AND AVAILABILITY OF TEXTBOOKS AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS</b>		<b>PERCENT OF PUPILS WHO LACK THEIR OWN ASSIGNED TEXTBOOKS, INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS OR SCIENCE LAB EQUIPMENT</b>
FOREIGN LANGUAGE	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted		0
HEALTH SCIENCES	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted		0
VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted		0
SCIENCE LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	Science labs are adequately equipped		0

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,703	\$1,387	\$5,316	\$78,338
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,106	\$76,369
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-15%	3%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,512	\$68,332
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-4%	13%

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Students with Special Needs at Silver Creek High School have a wide range of opportunities to receive supplemental services in addition to their academic instruction through categorical funds and other sources. These supplemental services paid through categorical funds offer tutoring, credit recovery, health curriculum, counseling and support program available to students, parents, staff members and families. This unique support and community resource provide students and the school with the help it needs to deal with the everyday stresses and pitfalls many students face during their teenage years and help them grow personally and emotionally. Students have access to computers, teachers, and peer tutors after school. Homework and Tutoring Center is available for students for after school assistance funded by categorical funds. CAHSEE Intervention Classes in Math and English to help students with their Math and English skills and pass the California High School Exit Exam. READ 180 help students with their reading skills to succeed in their classes. The following special programs are offered at Silver Creek.

- School Improvement Program
- Homework and Tutoring Center
- Healthy Start/Multi-Service Team
- Gifted and Talented Education
- English Language Development
- English Language Learner Classes (Sheltered) READ 180
- CAHSEE Intervention in English and Math

AVID

Institute of Management and Technology

CCOC

Evergreen Community College Classes

Grad Academy

NJROTC

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$48,434	\$42,810
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$79,527	\$69,375
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,141	\$89,104
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$120,314
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$122,625	\$126,901
Superintendent Salary	\$238,000	\$198,563
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37.90 %	37.30 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.30 %	5.20 %

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	47	53	55	43	44	47	43	46	50
Mathematics	34	35	36	23	23	26	40	43	46
Science	42	46	47	37	44	45	38	46	50
History-Social Science	32	40	44	30	34	39	33	36	41

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	53	14	30	32
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	66	53	65	58
Filipino	60	38	55	44
Hispanic or Latino	38	17	25	28
Pacific Islander	29	14	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	66	35	43	48
Male	50	38	49	48
Female	59	33	45	40
Economically Disadvantaged	47	33	40	39
English Learners	12	21	10	10
Students with Disabilities	17	17	9	15
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	36	19	*	38

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## California High School Exit Examination Results

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics in order to compute Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) designations as required by the federal NCLB Act of 2001. Detailed information regarding CAHSEE results can be found at the CDE [California High School Exit Examination \(CAHSEE\)](#) Web site. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

## California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level in ELA and mathematics.

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	62.8	61.9	59.6	51.9	55.1	55.1	48.6	52.9	52.0
Mathematics	71.8	67.9	68.6	55.1	59.6	59.6	49.9	51.3	53.3

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## California High School Exit Examination Results by Performance Level for Student Groups – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at each performance level in English language-arts and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

Group	English			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students	38.1	45.9	16.0	32.1	36.7	31.1
Male	39.3	46.6	14.1	31.1	33.1	35.8
Female	37.0	45.1	18.0	33.2	40.5	26.3
African American	43.8	40.6	15.6	53.1	34.4	12.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	30.1	48.7	21.2	17.2	35.7	47.1
Filipino	30.6	50.6	18.8	25.9	45.9	28.2
Hispanic or Latino	50.3	42.1	7.7	49.7	31.2	19.0
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	35.3	41.2	23.5	25.7	54.3	20.0
English Learners	70.5	26.8	2.7	51.9	31.8	16.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	45.3	44.4	10.3	36.8	32.9	30.3
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	85.0	15.0	0.0	78.7	19.1	2.1

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	14.2	27.7	43.9

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	6	7	7
Similar Schools	4	7	7

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"B" means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.

"C" means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.

" \* " means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program

test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	27	16	3	764
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	39	17	11	835
Filipino	12	23	3	791
Hispanic or Latino	24	9	3	675
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	35	8	-3	733
English Learners	-20	-7	24	688
Students with Disabilities	31	-20	39	521

"N/A"  
""

means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics  
 Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics  
 API as an additional indicator  
 Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes

"Yes"

Met 2009 AYP Criteria

"No"

Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	31.8

## XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

### Admission Requirements for California Public Universities

#### University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements please visit the [General Admissions Information](#) Web page (Outside Source).

#### California State University



Admission requirements for the California State University (CSU) use three factors to determine eligibility. They are specific high school courses; grades in specified courses and test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the [Undergraduate Admission & Requirements](#) Web page (Outside Source).

## Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-year dropout and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Dropout Rate (1-year)	3.0	3.3	3.1	7.0	5.1	5.2	3.5	4.4	3.9
Graduation Rate	81.3	82.3	83.0	77.0	76.1	77.6	83.4	80.6	80.2

## Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Students in California public schools must pass both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE to receive a high school diploma. For students who began the 2008-09 school year in grade twelve this table displays by student group the percent who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion.

Group	Graduating Class of 2009		
	School	District	State
All Students	92	82	N/A
African American	82	80	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	71	N/A
Asian	96	92	N/A
Filipino	90	89	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	86	71	N/A
Pacific Islander	100	89	N/A
White (not Hispanic)	96	89	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88	77	N/A
English Learners	70	48	N/A
Students with Disabilities	60	45	N/A

## Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the degree to which pupils are prepared to enter the workforce, including a list of career technical education (CTE) programs offered at the school.

We offer magnet strands, Legal Studies, Forensics, Telecommunications, and Business. Additionally, we offer the following CTE courses: Marketing, Fundamentals of Telecommunications, Computer Information Technology, Introduction to Business, Web Design, and Economics of Business Ownership. We are affiliated with NFTE. Silver Creek is one of two schools in the district in partnership with San Jose State University in their Hispanic Computer Brigade which is a program geared at exposing

underrepresented students to technology with a goal of moving into technical careers. Many of our classes articulate for college credit at Mission, San Jose City and Evergreen Valley Colleges.

## Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays information about participation in the school's CTE programs.

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of the school's pupils participating in CTE	423
Percent of the school's pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	10%
Percent of school's CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	83%

## Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays, for the most recent year, two measures related to the school's courses that are required for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission. Detailed information about student enrollment in, and completion, of courses required for UC/CSU admission can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	73.6
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	31.7

## Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays for the most recent year the number of Advanced Placement (AP) courses that the school offered by subject and the percent of the school's students enrolled in all AP courses. Detailed information about student enrollment in AP courses can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language	2	N/A
Mathematics	3	N/A
Science	2	N/A
Social Science	3	N/A
All courses	11	4.5

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state's standards and the school's core values. Although 2 annual full release days are provided to teachers for professional development, many other opportunities to improve practice occur throughout the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data driven and directly linked to teaching and learning. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSA and new teacher orientation meetings support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts to align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect a best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., standards-based instruction), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. For example, in English, teachers are examining student work to ascertain effective standards-based instructional strategies, while in Physical Education, teachers are reviewing the *FitnessGram* data to establish effective instruction strategies for physical fitness instruction. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers' knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.

## XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

### National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the

knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92