

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	William C. Overfelt High	District Name	East Side Union High
Street	1835 Cunningham Ave.	Phone Number	408-347-5000
City, State, Zip	San Jose , CA 95122-1712	Web Site	www.esuhd.org
Phone Number	408-347-5900	Superintendent	Dan Moser
Principal	Vito Chiala	E-mail Address	moserd@esuhsd.org
E-mail Address	chialav@esuhsd.org	CDS Code	43- 69427- 4335428

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

It is a true honor for me to be the principal and to serve the students, staff, and community of Overfelt High School. I have had the privilege of working at Overfelt for the last nine years. In that time I have been an English teacher, worked with teacher professional development, coordinated student activities, and helped to implement the ninth grade small learning community. For three years, I had the pleasure to be Overfelt's Associate Principal with responsibility for testing, professional development, and the student academic program.

My dedication is to the Overfelt community. I am committed to increasing the academic achievement for all Overfelt students. I believe that education is the key to the future success of our students and this community. We need to continue to make Overfelt a place where each of our students can find success.

I am fortunate to work with a highly qualified, enthusiastic staff of teachers and professionals. Our students and families are up for the challenge. Our increasing API indicates that more and more of our students are achieving at higher and higher levels each year. Of course, we know we are not done yet and will continue to work hard to take student achievement to the next level.

I value open communication. This means that I welcome input and suggestions for improving our school and increasing student success. I encourage you to contact me or any member of the Overfelt staff if you have concerns or questions. I hope to continue to earn the position of Overfelt's Principal each year.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

Parent Involvement Coordinator: Vito Chiala, Principal (408) 347-5900

W.C. Overfelt values and includes all stakeholders in all facets of the educational process. To encourage parent participation, W.C. Overfelt maintains a system of open two-way communication and employs a variety of strategies to increase stakeholder communication.

Weekly Parent Message from the Principal communicating important school information.

Parent Conferencing Events: twice a year Overfelt parents have the opportunity to have organized mini-conferences with teachers and school staff.

Adult Education and other classes for parents provide opportunities for parents to learn English and student support skills.

Counselors and Program Coordinators host frequent parent meetings on a variety of topics to increase parents' awareness of expectations, policies, and practices in order to increase student academic success.

School Site Council meets monthly and provides parents the opportunity to participate in the decision making process for the school.

TeleParent phone messaging system allows communication from teachers in parents' home languages.

School Loop allows parents to look up grades and assignments and email teachers.

School Website is updated with current school information.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	0
Grade 3	0
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	0
Grade 7	0
Grade 8	0
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	439
Grade 10	474
Grade 11	433
Grade 12	384
Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	1730

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	1.73 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.12 %
Asian	8.27 %
Filipino	7.51 %
Hispanic or Latino	78.44 %
Pacific Islander	2.14 %
White (not Hispanic)	1.73 %
Multiple or No Response	0.06 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.00 %
English Learners	28.00 %
Students with Disabilities	11.00 %

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays by subject area the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
---------	---------	---------	---------

	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	24.9	27	32	8	25.8	22	42	7	24.0	32	41	8
Mathematics	25.1	26	22	11	25.9	27	37	6	25.2	25	45	8
Science	32.0	1	13	21	30.4		25	15	29.3	3	31	10
Social Science	31.2	4	14	20	30.2	1	28	10	30.0	6	26	12

### III. School Climate

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

The Overfelt safety plan was last updated on October 3, 2008.

W.C. Overfelt has a very detailed, comprehensive safety plan that outlines protocols, systems, and procedures in the event of any/all emergencies. This plan also contains the yearly safety goals as determined by the students, staff, and parents. The Safety Plan is developed by the WCO Safety Committee and reviewed by the District Safety Committee before it is presented to the East Side Union High School District Board of Trustees for adoption. The Safety Plan and drill procedures are reviewed during the year with all staff. Safety alerts are shared with all staff as needed throughout the school year. In addition, all required drills are calendared and completed and the results are communicated to all staff. The William C. Overfelt High School Site Safety Plan has a comprehensive, enforceable, and continuous:

Behavior policy

Rules and regulations Dress code policy

Protocols for safety/emergency drills

Tardy policy

Attendance policy

Referral process

Partnership with community agencies, City of San Jose, San Jose Police Department, and other agencies and groups that offer support services

Safety team

Multi-service team

Nondiscriminatory policy on student rights and responsibilities

#### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School	District
------	--------	----------

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	38.0	41.8	27.8	21.7	30.0	16.9
Expulsions	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

#### Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

#### Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Leadership Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

#### Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

#### Age of School Buildings

Although the main school campus was constructed in 1962, portable buildings have been added to accommodate diverse program needs. By utilizing Measure G Bond funds, the school has undertaken a major new construction plan that will improve the infrastructure and facilities of the school. At the start of the 2007-2008 school year, two new buildings incorporating twenty-two modern classrooms and five student collaboration rooms were opened at Overfelt High School. In December of 2008 we opened our new state of the art science building with ten fully equipped classrooms with modern lab spaces. Plans for future construction are underway and include modernizing all classroom buildings.

#### Maintenance Projects

Overfelt is constantly undergoing maintenance as a result of the age of the majority of the campus buildings and grounds. We have a plan to maintain student and staff restrooms that are both clean and well supplied. Our athletic facilities and fields require ongoing maintenance and are another of our major maintenance projects.

#### Modernization Projects

During the summer of 2007, 14 classrooms in Overfelt's E-Wing were updated. The renovation included a new roof, ceiling tiles, light fixtures, carpet, paint, and windows. These classrooms now provide a brighter more effective environment for learning.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	x			
Mechanical Systems	70%	30%		Will replace when funds are available.
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	80%		20%	Replaced ticket booth door and some windows in the C-building. Need new windows and doors in C, E, F, G, H, I and J building areas. Will be done when funds are available.
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)	80%	10%	10%	Will be replacing flooring when funds are available.
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	x			
Structural Damage	x			
Fire Safety	x			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	x			
Pest/Vermin Infestation		x		Have buildings spray by pest control company
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)		x		We work on drinking fountains three to four times a year
Restrooms	x			
Sewer	x			
Playground/School Grounds	x			
Other Athletic Fields	x			We reseeded the football field spring of 2009. We will be redoing softball and soccer Spring of 2010.
<b>Overall Rating</b>	x			

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	66	67	67	1089
Without Full Credential	8	15	18	149
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	14	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	14	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	5	1	1

### Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	91.0	9.0
All Schools in District	95.2	4.8
High-Poverty Schools in District	94.4	5.6
Low-Poverty Schools in District		

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	4.8	360
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.6	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	N/A

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

CORE CURRICULUM AREA AND TEXTBOOK IN USE BY COURSE	PUBLISHER	YEAR PUBLISHED	PERCENT OF PUPILS WHO LACK THEIR OWN ASSIGNED TEXTBOOKS, INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS OR SCIENCE LAB EQUIPMENT
ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS			
English 1 – “The Language of Literature Ninth Grade”	McDougal Littell	2002	0
English 2 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 10	McDougal Littell	2002	0
English 3 – “Timeless Voices Timeless Themes Am. Experience”	Prentice Hall	2000	0
English 4 – “The Language of Literature” World Literature	McDougal Littell	2002	0
MATH			
Algebra I – “Algebra 1”	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Geometry – “Geometry”	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Algebra II – “Algebra 2”	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Math Analysis – “Precalculus with Limits”	Houghton Mifflin	2001	0
SCIENCE			
Integrated Science 1 – “Spectrum Physical Approach/Science/Explorations	Holt	2001, 03, 04	0
Biology – “Biology: Web of Life; Holt Biology”	Holt	1998, 99, 04	0
Chemistry – “Chemistry: Connections to our Changing World”	Prentice-Hall	2000, 2002	0



Physics – “Conceptual Physics”	Addison-Wesley	1992, 99, 02, 06	0
SOCIAL SCIENCE			
World History – “Modern World History”	McDougal-Littell	2003	0
US History – “The American Vision”	Glencoe/McGraw Hill	2006	0
American Government – “Magruder’s American Government”	Prentice Hall	1997	0
American Government – “We the People”	Center for Civic Education	2002	0
CURRICULUM AREA		QUALITY, CURRENCY, AND AVAILABILITY OF TEXTBOOKS AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS	PERCENT OF PUPILS WHO LACK THEIR OWN ASSIGNED TEXTBOOKS, INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS OR SCIENCE LAB EQUIPMENT
FOREIGN LANGUAGE	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted		0
HEALTH SCIENCES	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted		0
VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted		0
SCIENCE LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	Science labs are adequately equipped		0

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school’s per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site

with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$8,476	\$2,818	\$5,658	\$74,844
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,106	\$76,369
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-8%	-9%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,512	\$68,332
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	3%	9%

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

At Overfelt High School there are a number of supplemental programs to help increase student academic achievement. New Options is an after school program funded by the 21st Century grant and operated in partnership with the YWCA. They offer tutoring, credit recovery, enrichment and health curriculum daily. Students have access to computers, teachers, and peer tutors after school from 2:15 until 5:15 daily in our Homework Center which is funded with site funds. Every student is assigned an academic counselor. The funding for Overfelt’s five counselors comes from a combination of State and Federal funds. Teacher professional development is designed and organized by our Teacher Leadership Team. This professional development takes place during the summer, after school, and in weekly collaboration time. These efforts focus on increasing rigor, providing instructional support, and increasing students’ engagement and motivation. Many of these efforts are funded through a grant we receive from the California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP). All 9th grade students enter a small learning community where they receive extra counseling, behavior advising, and targeted curriculum in a safe and supportive environment. Additional support for 9th graders is provided by our team of trained peer mentors as well as an after school mentoring program operated by Community Partners for Youth. The AVID, Puente, Green Careers, Multimedia Production, Child Development and Education, and Electronics Academies all provide additional support structures for students. All of these programs operate with a combination of local, state, and federal categorical funds.

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$48,434	\$42,810
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$79,527	\$69,375

Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,141	\$89,104
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$120,314
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$122,625	\$126,901
Superintendent Salary	\$238,000	\$198,563
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37.90 %	37.30 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.30 %	5.20 %

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	26	26	31	43	44	47	43	46	50
Mathematics	11	14	15	23	23	26	40	43	46
Science	14	24	29	37	44	45	38	46	50
History-Social Science	17	20	25	30	34	39	33	36	41

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	24	9	25	28
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*		*
Asian	52	36	62	55
Filipino	42	15	47	31
Hispanic or Latino	27	12	25	21
Pacific Islander	15	4	*	9
White (not Hispanic)	70	57	*	45
Male	31	17	38	31
Female	30	13	19	18
Economically Disadvantaged	27	13	26	24
English Learners	4	8	2	2
Students with Disabilities	18	18	11	8
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	18	0	*	32

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## California High School Exit Examination Results

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics in order to compute Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) designations as required by the federal NCLB Act of 2001. Detailed information regarding CAHSEE results can be found at the CDE [California High School Exit Examination \(CAHSEE\)](#) Web site. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

## California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level in ELA and mathematics.

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	31.0	38.9	37.6	51.9	55.1	55.1	48.6	52.9	52.0
Mathematics	32.0	46.9	43.5	55.1	59.6	59.6	49.9	51.3	53.3

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## California High School Exit Examination Results by Performance Level for Student Groups – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at each performance level in English language-arts and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

Group	English			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students	61.1	33.6	5.3	53.1	36.1	10.9
Male	63.1	33.3	3.5	48.2	37.1	14.7
Female	58.9	33.9	7.2	58.3	35.0	6.7
African American	*	*	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	47.1	38.2	14.7	20.6	41.2	38.2
Filipino	48.1	44.4	7.4	40.7	37.0	22.2
Hispanic or Latino	65.3	30.7	4.0	59.5	33.8	6.7
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	82.3	17.2	0.5	66.8	30.6	2.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	66.3	29.7	4.0	56.0	35.8	8.3
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	85.7	14.3	0.0	64.3	28.6	7.1
Students with Disabilities	91.5	8.5	0.0	84.8	10.9	4.3

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	16.8	27.3	44.6

## X. Accountability

## Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	2	3	3
Similar Schools	8	9	9

- "N/A"** means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.
- "B"** means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.
- "C"** means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.
- " \* "** means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	22	12	0	655
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				

Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	20	14	-3	630
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	20	6	1	639
English Learners	5	-3	-2	591
Students with Disabilities			50	501

"N/A"

means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"\*\*"

means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics  
Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics  
API as an additional indicator  
Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes

"Yes"

Met 2009 AYP Criteria

"No"

Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\)](#) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2008-2009	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	31.8

## XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

### Admission Requirements for California Public Universities

#### University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements please visit the [General Admissions Information](#) Web page (Outside Source).

#### California State University

Admission requirements for the California State University (CSU) use three factors to determine eligibility. They are specific high school courses; grades in specified courses and test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the [Undergraduate Admission & Requirements](#) Web page (Outside Source).

### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-year dropout and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Dropout Rate (1-year)	4.3	4.2	4.3	7.0	5.1	5.2	3.5	4.4	3.9
Graduation Rate	77.4	77.2	78.6	77.0	76.1	77.6	83.4	80.6	80.2

## Completion of High School Graduation Requirements



Students in California public schools must pass both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE to receive a high school diploma. For students who began the 2008-09 school year in grade twelve this table displays by student group the percent who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion.

Group	Graduating Class of 2009		
	School	District	State
All Students	70	82	N/A
African American	100	80	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	71	N/A
Asian	86	92	N/A
Filipino	81	89	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	66	71	N/A
Pacific Islander	82	89	N/A
White (not Hispanic)	25	89	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	75	77	N/A
English Learners	32	48	N/A
Students with Disabilities	14	45	N/A

### Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the degree to which pupils are prepared to enter the workforce, including a list of career technical education (CTE) programs offered at the school.

Overfelt High School offers a wide array of programs that focus on developing the skills needed for our students to be successful in the 21<sup>st</sup> century workplace. These career technical education programs include our Electronics Academy, Green Careers Academy, Multimedia production Academy, and Child Development and Education Academy. We also offer courses such as Business Communications, Graphic Design, Army JROTC, and Work Experience, that teach students the skills needed to be successful in college and the workplace. Many of our students attend CCOC, our regional vocational education center, where they can receive training in a variety of career areas.

In addition, technology is integrated throughout our curriculum to enhance instruction and provide opportunities for students to participate in project-based assignments that not only add depth and complexity, but also provide them with the skills that are necessary to compete for jobs in a technology-based society. Students are able to further improve their computer skills through the many opportunities available to them in their classrooms and in computer labs on campus.

### Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays information about participation in the school's CTE programs.

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of the school's pupils participating in CTE	425
Percent of the school's pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	25%
Percent of school's CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	15

## Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays, for the most recent year, two measures related to the school's courses that are required for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission. Detailed information about student enrollment in, and completion, of courses required for UC/CSU admission can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	63.5
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	25.0

## Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays for the most recent year the number of Advanced Placement (AP) courses that the school offered by subject and the percent of the school's students enrolled in all AP courses. Detailed information about student enrollment in AP courses can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	1	N/A
Foreign Language	2	N/A
Mathematics	1	N/A
Science	1	N/A
Social Science	3	N/A
All courses	9	4.1

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state's standards and the school's core values. Although 2 annual full release days are provided to teachers for professional development, many other opportunities to improve practice occur throughout the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data driven and directly linked to teaching and learning. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSA and new teacher orientation meetings support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts to align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as

well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect a best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., standards-based instruction), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. For example, in English, teachers are examining student work to ascertain effective standards-based instructional strategies, while in Physical Education, teachers are reviewing the *FitnessGram* data to establish effective instruction strategies for physical fitness instruction. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers' knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.

## XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

### National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

### National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress  
Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5

Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

### **National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated**

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

<b>Subject and Grade Level</b>	<b>State Participation Rate</b>		<b>National Participation Rate</b>	
	<b>Students With Disabilities</b>	<b>English Language Learners</b>	<b>Students With Disabilities</b>	<b>English Language Learners</b>
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92